Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Subhash Upadhyay, Smt. Anjali Bhargava, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India/respondent nos. 1 & 6, Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttaranchal/ respondent nos. 2 & 5 and Smt. Beena Pandey, learned Standing Counsel for State of U.P./respondent nos. 3 & 4.

Smt. Anjali Bhargava, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India has submitted that the petitioner has finally been allocated to the State of U.P. The parties have also submitted that the controversy raised by means of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court delivered on 25th April 2006 passed in writ petition no. 552 (SS) 2006 Laxmi Narayan Maurya and three others vs. Union of India and five others, as such, the present writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and observations expressed in the aforesaid judgment.

In view of the aforesaid statement the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms and observations made in writ petition no. 552 (SS) 2006 Laxmi Narayan Maurya and three others vs. Union of India and five others, delivered on 25th April 2006. Application No. 10747/06 is disposed of.

(M.M.G.,J.)

23.08.2006:
NCM: